

NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT

Harold Runnels Building 1190 South St. Francis Drive (87505) P.O. Box 5469, Santa Fe, NM 87502-5469 Phone (505) 827-0187 Fax (505) 827-0160 www.env.nm.gov



BUTCH TONGATE Cabinet Secretary

J. C. BORREGO Deputy Secretary

Certified Mail - Return Receipt Requested

August 31, 2018

Mr. Juan Fuentes, City Manager City of Truth or Consequences 505 Sims Street Truth or Consequences, New Mexico 87901

Re: City of Truth or Consequences WWTP; Multi-Sector General Permit; SIC 4952; NPDES Compliance Evaluation Inspection; NPDES #NMR053105; August 1, 2018

Dear Mr.Fuentes:

Enclosed please find a copy of the report and check list for the referenced inspection that the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) conducted at your facility on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). This inspection report will be sent to the USEPA in Dallas for their review. These inspections are used by USEPA to determine compliance with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitting program in accordance with requirements of the federal Clean Water Act.

Further explanations and problems noted during this inspection are discussed on the completed form and checklist of this inspection report.

You are encouraged to review the inspection report, required to correct any problems noted during the inspection, and advised to modify your operational and/or administrative procedures, as appropriate. If you have comments on or concerns with the basis for the findings in the NMED inspection report, please contact us (see the address below) in writing within 30 days from the date of this letter. Further, you are encouraged to notify in writing both the USEPA and NMED regarding modifications and compliance schedules at the addresses below:

Robert Houston Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6 NPDES Enforcement Branch (6EN-WS) 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200 Dallas, Texas 75202-2733 Program Manager New Mexico Environment Department Surface Water Quality Bureau (N2050) Point Source Regulation Section P.O. Box 5469 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502

City of Truth or Consequences WWTP, NPDES #NMR053105 August 31, 2018 Page 2 of 2

Robert Houston (Houston.Robert@epa.gov) is the USEPA Region 6's Stormwater Enforcement Coordinator at the above address. If you have any questions about this inspection report, please contact Jennifer Foote at (505)827-0596 or at Jennifer.Foote@state.nm.us.

Sincerely,

/s/ Sarah Holcomb

Sarah Holcomb Program Manager Point Source Regulation Section Surface Water Quality Bureau

cc: Carol Peters-Wagnon, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail Nancy Williams, USEPA (6EN-WC) by e-mail Amy Andrews, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail David Esparza, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail Robert Houston, USEPA (6EN)

Darlene Whitten-Hill, USEPA (6EN) by e-mail Mike Kesler, NMED District III by e-mail

Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85



NPDES Compliance Inspection Report

	Section A: National Data System Coding																																	
	Trans	saction	Code						i	ı	NPDI	ES	ı				ī			yı	r/mo/d	ay	ī			Insp	ec. T	ype	J	inspe	ctor	i	Fac T	ype
1	N	2	5 3	N	1	M	R	0)	5	3	1	0	5	11	1:	2	1	8	0	8	0	1	17	1	8	~		19	S	_] :	20		j
ı	\mathbf{s}	E	с т	, ()	R	I	r	г		Т	R	Е	A	Т	Ren	nark 1	E E	N	Т	l	w	Ιo	R	K	.	s		I	ı	I			I
L		specti	on Work			<u> </u>	!	I	Faci	litv E	evalua	tion R	ating	_ _		BI			QA								-Reserved							
	67			Ĺ	9					70	2			,	71		ı	72	N	73			74	75	i L								80	
	Section B: Facility Data																																	
Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit number) City of Truth or Consequences WWTP 1595 Animal Shelter Road Entry Time /Date 10:15 AM/8-1-18 Exit Time/Date 6-4-2015 Exit Time/Date Permit Effective Date 6-4-2015																																		
Truth or Consequences, New Mexico 87901 Sierra County 4:00PM/8/1-18																																		
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s) Other Facility Data																																		
	Sal Martinez, Lab Tech/575-894-7331 Arnie Castaneda/ WWTP Superintendent /575-894-7331 SIC: 4952																																	
Mr.	Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Mr. Juan Fuentes, 505 Sims Street, Truth or Consequences, New Mexico 87901/ City Manager/575-894-6674 ext. 320 Contacted Yes No x GPS: N. 33.11472 W107.282458																																	
	Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection $(S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)$																																	
S	Per	mit					1	Ŋ	Flo	ow M	Ieasu	remen	t	N Operations & Maintenance N						CSO/SSO														
U	Rec	cords	Reports				\vdash	Ŋ	S	elf-N	Ionito	oring l	Prog	ram N Sludge Handling/Disposal N					N	-	Pollution Prevention													
M		-	Site Revi				_	1	İ	_		Scheo	lules	S		-	N M			tment					N	┪	Multimedia							
N	Eff	luent	Receivir	ıg Wa	ter	s	1				atory	nmor	, of i	Findin	ac/Ca				rm V		nal ch	oote i	f noc	occom			Othe	r:						
	1. Inspectors Jennifer Foote (NMED) and Amy Andrews (EPA) arrived on site and explained the purpose of the inspections and presented credentials to Mr.Sal Martinez, Lab Tech. We were later joined by Mr. Arnulfo Castaneda A CEI for the NPDES Individual Permit for the POTW was also conducted concurrently on this day by EPA staff. Mr. Castaneda provided the SWPPP for review and guided us on a tour of the facility. An exit interview was conducted before the inspectors departed the facility. 2. See attached sheets for further details. 3. Note: Uncertified SWPPP, no routine facility inspections, no quarterly visual assessments, and no training are repeat violations from 2016 inspection.																																	
Nan	ne(s)	and S	ignature	(s) of	Ins	pecto	r(s)						Aş	gency/	Office	e/Tele	eph	one/I	ax								Date	,						
Jenn	ifer I	Foote	/	/s/ Jer	nif	er Fo	ote_						NI	MED/S	SWQ	B <u>50</u> 5	5-82	7-05	<u> </u>								8/30/18							
								Date 8/31/18																										

EPA Form 3560-3 (Rev. 9-94) Previous editions are obsolete.

<u>National</u>	Database Information	<u>General</u>			
Inspection Type	Compliance Eval	uation	Inspector Name	Jennifer Foote	
NPDES ID Number	NMR05310	5	Telephone	505-827-0596	
Inspection Date	8/1/18		Entry Time	10:15am	
Inspector Type (circle one)	□EPA ⊠State	□EPA Oversight	Exit Time	4:00pm	
Facility Sector/ SIC/Activity Code		Signature	/s/ Jennifer Foote		

Facility Location Information										
Name/Location/ Mailing Address	City of Truth or Consequences WWTP 1595 Animal Shelter Road, Truth or Consequences, New Mexico, 87901									
GPS Coordinates	Latitude	33.11472	Longitude	-107.282458						
Receiving Water(s) Rio Grande Caballo Reservoir to Elephant Butte Reservoir- 20.6.4.103 NMAC										

Contact Information									
	Name(s)	Telephone							
Name(s) and Role(s) of All Parties Meeting the Definition of Operator	Mr. Juan Fuentes/City Manager	575-894-6674 ext. 320							
Facility Contact	Sal Martinez, Lab Tech Arnie Castaneda, WWTP Superintendent	575-894-7331							
Authorized Official(s)	Mr. Juan Fuentes/City Manager	575-894-6674 ext. 320							

Basic Permit	<u>Information</u>	Basic SWPPP Information			
Permit Coverage	⊠Y	□N	SWPPP Prepared & Available	⊠Y	□N
Permit Type ⊠ General □ Individ			SWPPP Contents Satisfactory	□Υ	⊠N
Operational Date	1977		SWPPP Implementation Satisfactory	□Υ	⊠N
NOI/Application Date	9/30/2015		SWPPP Date	August 20)15
If applicable, is no exposure certification on file?	□ Ү	□N ⊠N/A			

SWPPP Review							
<u>General</u>			Notes:				
Was the SWPPP completed prior to NOI submission?	⊠ Y	□ N					
Copy of the NOI and acknowledgment letter from EPA?	Y	⊠ N	Acknowledgment letter missing				
Copy of the permit language?	× Y	□ N					
Have copies of inspection reports/all other documentation been retained as part of the SWPPP for 3 years from date permit coverage expires?	□ Y	□ N	N/A				
Does the SWPPP contain a signed/certified statement indicating that the site is inactive and unstaffed, and that there are no industrial materials or activities exposed to precipitation, in accordance with the substantive requirements in 40 CFR 122.26(g)(4)(iii)? Applicable to: Routine facility inspection (4.1.3) Quarterly visual assessment (4.2.3) Benchmark monitoring (6.2.1.3).	□ Y	Z	N/A				
Does the SWPPP include copies of relevant parts of other documents (e.g., SPCC) referenced in the SWPPP?	Y	□ N	N/A				
Does the SWPPP include documentation to support eligibility under the Endangered Species Act?	× Y	□ N					
Does the SWPPP include documentation to support eligibility under the Historic Preservation Act?	× Y	□ N					
Does the SWPPP include documentation to support eligibility under NEPA (New Source)?	Y	□ N	N/A				
Did all "operators" sign/certify the SWPPP?	Y	⊠ N	unsigned				
Is the storm water pollution prevention team identified (name or title)?	Y	⊠ N	Staff listed are no longer at facility				
Are the storm water pollution prevention team's responsibilities identified?	× Y	□ N					

Site Description			Notes:
SWPPP provides a description of the facility's industrial activities?	× Y	□ N	
Is there a general location map (e.g., USGS quadrangle map) with enough detail to identify the location of the facility and all receiving waters for storm water discharges?	Y	⊠ N	General location map incorrectly provides location in Socorro and states discharge is to unnamed canal.
Is there a site specific site map?	× Y	□ N	
Does the site map contain the size of the property in acres?	× Y	□ N	
Does the site map contain the location and extent of significant structures and impervious surfaces?	Y	⊠ N	Site map is an aerial photo and has not been updated since 2015 and significant construction has occurred at the site.
Does the site map contain directions of storm water flow (indicated by arrows)?	Y	⊠ N	Flow arrow seems to indicate river is flowing opposite way. There are no flow arrows within the facility limits. Also, the North arrow is pointing West.
Does the site map contain locations of all existing structural control measures?	Y	⊠ N	Site map has not been updated for new construction as Per Part 4.2 of permit. Plan states there is an elevated road that acts as a berm around the perimeter, however, the road is not elevated (Photo 3).
Does the site map contain locations of all receiving waters in the immediate vicinity of the facility, indicating if any of the waters are impaired, and if so, whether the waters have TMDLs established for them?	~	× N	Rio Grande (Caballo Reservoir to Elephant Butte Reservoir) is impaired for DO. Plan states receiving waters are impaired for Mercury.
Does the site map contain locations of all storm water conveyances including ditches, pipes and swales?	Y	⊠ N	Plan describes that "Any excess stormwater inside the WWTP flows back to the facility headworks through a series of drains," No drains are shown on site map.
Does the site map contain locations of all potential pollutants and significant materials identified under Part 5.1.3.2?	Y	⊠ N	Plan lists potential pollutants by building, but buildings are not identified on site map.
Does the site map contain locations where significant spills or leaks identified under Part 5.1.3.3 have occurred?	Y	N	N/A
Does the site map contain locations of all storm water monitoring points?	Y	⊠ N	Plan states facility does not discharge stormwater.
Does the site map contain locations of storm water inlets and outfalls, with a unique identification (e.g., 001, 002) for each outfall and if substantially identical?	× Y	□ N	Outfall 001 is labeled on the SWPPP map and appears to be where sheet flow leaves the site, however the SWPPP states there is no stormwater discharge. The individual NPDES permit also refers to Outfall 001 and it is located in approximately the same area.
Does the site map contain municipal separate storm sewers and where the facility discharges to them?	Y	□ N	N/A

Site Description			Notes:
Does the site map contain locations and descriptions of all non-storm water discharges?	Y	N	N/A
Does the site map contain locations of the following activities where these activities are exposed to precipitation? • Fueling stations • Vehicle and equipment maintenance and/or cleaning areas • Loading/unloading areas • Locations used for the treatment, storage or disposal of wastes • Liquid storage tanks • Processing and storage areas • Immediate access roads and rail lines used or travelled by carriers of raw materials, manufactured products, waste materials, or byproducts used or created by the facility • Transfer areas for substances in bulk • Machinery	Y	⊠ Z	New permanent diesel generator not shown on map(fueling area)
Does the site map contain locations and sources of run-on to the site from adjacent property that contains significant quantities of pollutants?	Y	□ N	N/A
Does the SWPPP document areas at the facility where industrial materials or activities are exposed to storm water and from which allowable non-storm water discharges are released?	Y	□ N	N/A
Does the SWPPP include a list of the industrial activities exposed to storm water (e.g., material storage; equipment fueling, maintenance, and cleaning; cutting steel beams)?	×	□ Z	
Does the SWPPP include a list of pollutants and/or pollutant constituents associated with each identified activity?	× Y	N	
Does the SWPPP include documentation of where spills and leaks occurred for three years prior to the preparation of the SWPPP?	\ \	Z	N/A. SWPPP reports no significant spills or leaks have occurred.

Site Description			Notes:
Does the SWPPP include a non-storm water discharge evaluation in the SWPPP? Does it include: Date Description of evaluation criteria List of the outfalls or onsite drainage points directly observed Different types of non-storm water discharges and source locations Actions taken such as a list of control measures for elimination.	×	Z	Evaluated August 23, 2011. Presence of nonstormwater discharges should be re-evaluated every permit term, and after new construction.
Does salt storage occur at this facility? Does the SWPPP include a summary of	Y	N	N/A
storm water sampling data for the previous permit term?	Y	□ N	N/A
Controls to Reduce Pollutants			Notes:
Does the SWPPP include documentation of the location and type of control measures at the facility to comply with the requirements in Part 2?	× Y	N	
Does the SWPPP include documentation that selection and design of control measures were based on a consideration of the practices and procedures in Part 2.1.1?	Y	⊠ N	
Does the SWPPP include measures to minimize the exposure of manufacturing, processing, and material storage areas (including loading and unloading, storage, disposal, cleaning, maintenance, and fueling operations) to rain, snow, snowmelt, and runoff by either locating these industrial materials and activities inside or protecting them with storm resistant coverings?	×	Z	
Does the SWPPP include good housekeeping measures (e.g., keeping all exposed areas that are potential sources of pollutants clean, using such measures as sweeping at regular intervals, keeping materials orderly and labeled, and storing materials in appropriate containers)?	×	Z	

Controls to Reduce Pollutants			Notes:
Does the SWPPP include a schedule for pickup and disposal of wastes and routine inspections of tanks and drums?	× Y	□ N	
Does the SWPPP include preventative maintenance procedures, including regular inspections, testing, maintenance, and repair of all industrial equipment and systems, and control measures, and back-up practices should a runoff event occur while a control measure is off-line?	× Y	□ N	
Does the SWPPP include a schedule for preventative maintenance procedures?	X Y	□ N	
Does the SWPPP include procedures for minimizing the potential for leaks, spills and other releases that may be exposed to storm water and develop plans for effective response to such spills if or when they occur?	Y	× N	States spills are unlikely.
Does the facility implement procedures for plainly labeling containers (e.g., "Used Oil," "Spent Solvents," "Fertilizers and Pesticides," etc.) that could be susceptible to spillage or leakage to encourage proper handling and facilitate rapid response if spills or leaks occur?	×	□ N	Plan states chemical and fuel storage will be under covered shelter. Various 5 gallon pails were located outside.(photo 1)
Does the facility implement preventative measures such as barriers between material storage and traffic areas, secondary containment provisions, and procedures for material storage and handling?	×	□ N	
Does the facility implement procedures for expeditiously stopping, containing, and cleaning up leaks, spills, and other releases?	× Y	□ N	
Does the facility train employees who may cause, detect, or respond to a spill or leak in these procedures and have necessary spill response equipment available?	Y	⊠ N	No training records were provided.
Does the facility document and follow procedures for notification of appropriate facility personnel, emergency response agencies, and regulatory agencies?	× Y	□ N	

Controls to Reduce Pollutants			Notes:
Does the SWPPP document erosion and sediment controls?	Y	⊠ N	Erosion and sediment controls section includes information on buffer compliance and seems to indicate that a site map will show a buffer and additional controls. A site map showing construction plans was not included in the SWPPP.
Does the facility stabilize exposed areas and contain runoff using structural and/or non-structural control measures to minimize onsite erosion and sedimentation, and the resulting discharge of pollutants?	Y	⊠ N	Site has been regraded as part of construction, unknown what kind of stabilization will occur. Erosion has been occurring. (Photo 2)
Does the facility place flow velocity dissipation devices at discharge locations and within outfall channels where necessary to reduce erosion and/or settle out pollutants?	Y	□ N	N/A
If the facility stores salt at this facility, are the piles enclosed or covered? Does the facility implement appropriate measures (e.g., good housekeeping, diversions, containment) to minimize exposure resulting from adding to or removing materials from the pile?	Y	N	N/A
Employee Training – is there a schedule for regular (at least annually) employee training?	□ Y	⊠ N	No training has occurred.
Does training cover both the specific control measures used to achieve the effluent limits in Part 2 and monitoring, inspection, planning, reporting, and documentation requirements in other parts of the permit?	Y	□ N	N/A
Does the facility ensure that waste, garbage, and floatable debris are not discharged to receiving waters by keeping exposed areas free of such materials or by intercepting them before they are discharged?	×	□ N	
Does the facility minimize generation of dust and off-site tracking of raw, final, or waste materials?	⊠ Y	□ N	
Has the facility eliminated non-storm water discharges not authorized by an NPDES permit?	Y	□ N	N/A

Inspections (Part 4)						
General Notes:						
Routine Facility Inspections						
Are routine facility inspections conducted at least quarterly while facility operating?	Y	⊠ N	Not performed/documented, annual report refers to daily walkarounds.			
 Are inspections documented, including: Date and time Name and signature of inspector Weather information and a description of discharge occurring at the time of the inspection Previously unidentified discharges from site Control measures needing maintenance 	Y	⊠ Z				
or repairs Failed control measures that need replacement Incidents of noncompliance observed Additional control measures needed. Exceptions, including (see 4.1.3):]				
 Inactive and unstaffed sites 	Y	N	N/A			
Quarterly Visual Assessment						
Are quarterly visual assessments conducted?	Y	× N	SWPPP states facility does not discharge. However, at least since construction has occurred, it appears that stormwater leaves the site at the area marked as Outfall 001 on the map, and possibly as sheet flow from other areas as well.			
Does the assessment consist of a sample collected: Within the first 30 minutes of discharge						
On discharges that occur at least 72 hours (3 days) from the previous discharge	Y	⊠ N				
Collected in a clean, clear glass or plastic container.						

Ins	spections			
Are	assessments documented, including:			
•	Sample location			
•	Sample collection date/time & visual assessment date/time			
•	Personnel collecting sample & performing assessment and their signature			
•	Nature of the discharge (runoff or snowmelt)	□ Y	N	
•	Results of observations (including color, odor, clarity, floating solids, settled solids, suspended solids, foam, oil sheen and other obvious indicators)			
•	Probable sources of contamination			
•	If applicable, reason for not taking samples within 1 st 30 minutes.			
Ex	ceptions, including (see 4.2.3):			N/A
•	Adverse weather conditions			
•	Climates with irregular storm water runoff			
•	Areas subject to snow	Y	N	
•	Substantially identical outfalls (per 5.1.5.2)	ı	.,	
•	Inactive and unstaffed sites.			
Со	mprehensive Site Inspections			
	e comprehensive site inspections nducted annually (start 9/29/08)?	□ Y	□ N	N/A- Per 2015 permit requirements, now incorporated in routine facility inspection
	nducted by qualified personnel including			N/A
at least one member of the storm water		Υ	N	
pollution prevention team? Cover all areas of the facility?				N/A
	ver all areas of the facility:		N	18/7
Include a review of monitoring data? Do		Υ	IN	
ins yea	pectors consider the results of the past ar's visual and analytical monitoring when nning and conducting inspections?	Y	□ N	N/A

Ins	Inspections					
Inc	lude observations of the following:					
•	Industrial materials, residue, or trash that may have or could come into contact with storm water			N/A		
•	Leaks or spills from industrial equipment, drums, tanks, and other containers					
•	Offsite tracking of industrial or waste materials, or sediment where vehicles enter or exit the site	□ Y	□ N			
•	Tracking or blowing of raw, final, or waste materials from areas of no exposure to exposed areas					
•	Control measures needing replacement, maintenance, or repair					
•	All storm water control measures observed.					
Are	inspections documented, including:					
•	Date of inspection			N/A		
•	Names and titles of personnel making the inspection					
•	Findings from examination of areas of facility from Part 4.3.1					
•	All observations relating to implementation of control measures	Y				
•	Any required revisions to the SWPPP resulting from inspection	r	IN			
•	Any incidents of noncompliance identified OR certification that facility is in compliance with the permit					
•	A statement signed in accordance with Appendix B, Subsection 11					

Monitoring (Part 6)				
<u>General</u>			Notes:	
Does the SWPPP contain a procedure for conducting sector (and co-located) specific benchmark monitoring?	Y	□ N	N/A for Sector T	
Does the SWPPP contain procedures for conducting effluent limitations guidelines monitoring?	Y	□ N	N/A	
Does the SWPPP contain a procedure for other monitoring (state or tribal specific; impaired waters; other as required)	□ Y	□ N	N/A	
Are samples analyzed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 136 methods?	Y	□ N	N/A	
Benchmark Monitoring				
 Does the monitoring consist of a sample collected: Within the first 30 minutes of discharge On discharges that occur at least 72 hours (3 days) from the previous discharge Document the date and duration (in hours) of the rainfall event, rainfall total (snow - date only) for that rainfall Prior to commingling. 	Y	□ N	N/A for Sector T	
Is monitoring conducted during each of the first four full quarterly (calendar) monitoring periods following permit coverage?	Y	□ N	N/A	
Is the average of the first four quarterly samples < the parameter benchmark?	□ Y	□ N	N/A	

Mc	pnitoring			
	the average of the first four quarterly mples > the parameter benchmark?			
•	Make the necessary modifications			
•	Continue quarterly monitoring			
•	Determine and document that no further pollutant reductions are technologically available and economically practicable and achievable, continue monitoring once per year, notify EPA	Y	N	N/A
•	Natural background pollutant level documentation			
Ex	ceptions, including (see 6.1 & 6.2):			
•	Adverse weather conditions			
•	Climates with irregular storm water runoff			N/A
•	Snowmelt	Υ	Ν	IVA
•	Substantially identical outfalls (per 5.1.5.2)			
•	Inactive and unstaffed sites.			
Eff	luent Limitations Monitoring			
Sampled once per year?		□ Y	□ N	N/A
	llow-up requirements if discharge ceeds effluent limit (see 6.3)?	Y	Z	N/A
Ot	her Required Monitoring			
•	State or Tribal provisions]	
•	Discharges to impaired waters	Y	N	N/A
•	Additional monitoring required by EPA.	I	11	
Re	porting (Part 7)			
	<u>General</u>			Notes:
da	monitoring data reported to EPA within 30 ys of receiving analytical results for the onitoring period?	□ Y	□ N	N/A
aft	the annual report submitted by 45 days er conducting the comprehensive site pection?	× Y	□ N	Annual reports submitted 1/30/17 and 1/31/2018
res sul	ollow-up effluent limitations monitoring sults exceed numeric limits, was a report omitted to EPA no later than 30 days after sults were received?	Y	N	N/A

SWPPP Implementation (e.g., use grading, berming, or curbing to prevent runoff of contaminated flows and Measures to divert run-on away; locate materials, equipment, and activities so that leaks are minimize the contained in existing containment and diversion systems; clean up spills and leaks exposure of promptly using dry methods (e.g., absorbents) to prevent the discharge of pollutants; manufacturing, use drip pans and absorbents under or around leaky vehicles and equipment or store processing, and indoors where feasible; use spill/overflow protection equipment; drain fluids from material storage equipment and vehicles prior to on-site storage or disposal; perform all cleaning areas (including operations indoors, under cover, or in bermed areas that prevent runoff and run-on loading and and also that capture any overspray; and ensure that all washwater drains to a proper unloading, storage, collection system) disposal, cleaning, maintenance, and Flows from sludge areas drain to headworks, however, flow patterns at the new belt fueling operations) press building should be verified. New diesel generator area may flow offsite. to rain, snow, snowmelt, and runoff **Good Housekeeping** (e.g., keeping all exposed areas that are potential sources of pollutants clean, using such measures as sweeping at regular intervals, keeping materials orderly and labeled, and storing materials in appropriate containers) Some 5 gallon containers were located outside of containment. (photo 1) (e.g., regular inspections, testing, maintenance, and repair of all industrial equipment **Preventative** and systems, and control measures, and back-up practices should a runoff event maintenance occur while a control measure is off-line) Plan states facility performs monthly walk arounds, there is not documentation of this in the onsite SWPPP.

SWPPP Implementatio	n
Spill Prevention and Response	 (e.g., minimizing the potential for leaks, spills and other releases that may be exposed to storm water and develop plans for effective response to such spills if or when they occur) 5 gallon containers were located outside of containment(photo 1). No overfill containment at fill valve on new diesel generator.
Erosion and Sediment Controls	(e.g., stabilize exposed areas and contain runoff using structural and/or non-structural control measures to minimize onsite erosion and sedimentation, flow velocity dissipation devices at discharge locations and within outfall channels) Site has been recently graded as part of construction, stabilization has not yet occurred and some erosion was evident.(photo 2,3)
Management of Runoff	(e.g., divert, infiltrate, reuse, contain, or otherwise reduce storm water runoff, to minimize pollutants in discharges) SWPPP states that facility is graded to not discharge, however it appears that there is at least one location at the outfall gate where stormwater leaves the site(photo 4). The berm should be rebuilt in that area.
Salt Storage Piles	(e.g., enclose or cover piles appropriate measures (e.g., good housekeeping, diversions, containment) to minimize exposure resulting from adding to or removing materials from the pile) N/A

SWPPP Implementation						
Waste, Garbage and Floatable Debris	(e.g., keep exposed areas free of such materials or by intercepting them before they are discharged)					
	In general the site was orderly.					
Evidence of non- storm water discharges	No non-stormwater discharges were observed on the day of the inspection.					
Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials	(minimize generation of dust and off-site tracking of raw, final, or waste materials) Roads are stabilized with gravel.					

NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 1			
Photographer: Jennifer Foote	Date: 8/1/18	Time: 2:40pm	
City/County: Truth Or Consequences/Sierra County	State: New Mexico		
Location: Truth Or Consequences WWTP			
Subject: pails located exposed to stormwater and not	in containment		



		MED/SWQB		
Official Photograph Log Photo # 2				
Photographer: Jennifer Foote	Date: 8/1/18	Tim	ne: 2:06pm	
City/County: Truth Or Consequences/Sierra Coun	ty	Stat	te: New Mexico	
Location: Truth Or Consequences WWTP				
C-1:				



NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 3			
Photographer: Jennifer Foote	Date: 8/1/18	Time: 2:06pm	
City/County: Truth Or Consequences/Sierra County	State: New Mexico		
Location: Truth Or Consequences WWTP			
Subject: Road on south side of site is not elevated 1'	o act as a berm		



NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 4				
Photographer: Jennifer Foote	Date: 8/1/18	Time: 2:06pm		
City/County: Truth Or Consequences/Sier	State: New Mexico			
Location: Truth Or Consequences WWTP				
Subject: Discharge location at SE corner of	f site at gate			



Attachment 1 Site Map Detail







